



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/785,650	02/16/2001	James William Cooper	YOR920000753US1	4185	
7590 10/31/2005			EXAMINER		
Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP			RIVERO, MINERVA		
Suite 205			<u></u>		
1300 Post Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fairfield, CT 06430			2655		

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/785,650	COOPER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Minerva Rivero	2655			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	5		
WHIC - Exten after - If NO - Failur Any re	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tee to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lety filed the mailing date of this commun O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Au This action is FINAL 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		rits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □ 8) □	Claim(s) 1-8,10-22 and 24-30 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8,10-22 and 24-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the led drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:		)		

Application/Control Number: 09/785,650 Page 2

Art Unit: 2655

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In the Remarks filed 8/11/05, Applicants submitted arguments for allowability of pending claims.

### Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 8/11/05 (see Remarks, pp. 2-4) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. Regarding claims 1 and 27, Applicants argue that Yahagi and Logan are directed to unrelated art and therefore there is no motivation to combine the cited references. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art.

  See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Logan teaches accessing speech data (audio speech file, column 2, line 57);

recognizing at least two voice commands ("Go" "Five" "News" etc.) from the speech data, each voice command occurring at a different time (shift to different

Application/Control Number: 09/785,650

Art Unit: 2655

segment; column 12, lines 55-60), but lacks determining a first and second time associated with a speaking of a first and second of the voice commands, wherein said first and second voice command identifies a start and end of said time interval.

Yahagi discloses a speech recognition method comprising the steps of:

determining a first time associated with a speaking of first of the voice commands (start), wherein the first voice command identifies a start of a time interval (time interval from the start, column 8, lines 10-37), and

determining a second time associated with a speaking of a second of the voice commands (stop), wherein a second voice command identifies an end of a time interval (column 8, lines 10-37 with lines 48-58), to obtain a correct time measurement.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Logan's method, article of manufacture and computer system wherein it determines a first and second time associated with a speaking if a first and second of the voice commands, wherein said first and second voice command identifies a start and end of said time interval, to perform a correct timing in synchronism with speech input (column 10, lines 22-31).

Furthermore, Applicants argue that neither Yahagi nor Logan disclose storing data identifying said time interval and data identifying one or more of said first and second voice command(s).

The examiner cannot concur with the Applicants. Yahagi *et al.* disclose designating an address for each measurement time data, the measurement time data is retrieved later as needed (*lastly split time is read out*, Col. 3, Line 60 – Col. 4, Line 1).

Art Unit: 2655

thus indicating a pointer (*stored identifying information*) to the particular address area with respect to its contents, such pointer having been created when the measurement time data was originally stored.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-7 and 27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Logan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,721,827), hereinafter referenced as Logan in view of Yahagi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,984,274), as stated in the last office action.
- 6. Claim 8, 10-22, 24-26 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Logan in view of Yahagi as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ladd et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,539,359), as stated in the last office action.

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minerva Rivero whose telephone number is (571) 272-7626. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on (571) 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/785,650

Art Unit: 2655

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MR 10/20/05

W. R. YÓUNG PRIMARY EXAMINEI